

Quark and proton anomalous magnetic moments in confining models

Letícia F. Palhares

Departamento de Física Teórica (UERJ, Brazil)



Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 9, 094006

Collaborators: <u>C. Mena</u>, M. Capri, M. Guimaraes, B. Mintz, S. Sorella (UERJ), A. Duarte (UFF), D. Dudal (Leuven U.), D.Vercauteren (Duy Tan U.)







- Motivation: **confinement**
- **Confining models** as an alternative approach to IR QCD
- Status of Refined Gribov-Zwanziger framework
- Quark and proton anomalous magnetic moment: consistent results? model contraints?

Motivation: the confinement problem

Fundamental degrees of freedom are not part of the spectrum

Physical spectrum of bound states dynamically generated at low energies.

[Yang-Mills gauge theories] and QCD:





[Gluons] and quarks



[Glueballs,] baryons and mesons

What is the mechanism??

What happens to quarks and gluons in the IR??

Motivation: gluon propagator in the infrared

• Finite infrared gluon propagator in Landau gauge:

- early predictions in Dyson-Schwinger studies [Aguilar, Natale (2004); Frasca (2007)]
- High-precision lattice YM results for large systems [Cucchieri, Mendes (2008)]



Also confirmed by other lattice groups: [Bogolubsky et al (2009); Oliveira & Silva (2009)]

- FRG: Cyrol, Fister, Mitter, Pawlowski, Strodthoff (PRD 2016)
- Curci-Ferrari (massive) models: Pelaez, Reinosa, Serreau, Tissier, Wschebor (2015, 2016)
- Gluon condensate from lattice QCD: Boucaud, Pene, Rodriguez-Quintero et al (2001)

Letícia F. Palhares (XIV LASNPA @ Mexico City, Jun/2024)

[see talk by M. Pelaez]

• Quantizing Yang-Mills theories beyond Pert. Theory?

[Gribov (1978)]

The Gribov problem:

In the Landau gauge, for instance, the theory assumes the form

$$\int \mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}\bar{c}\mathcal{D}c\mathcal{D}b \, e^{-S_{YM}+S_{gf}}$$
$$S_{gf} = b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu - \bar{c}^a \mathcal{M}^{ab} c^b , \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ab} = -\partial_\mu \left(\delta^{ab} \partial_\mu + g f^{abc} A^c_\mu\right)$$

- Gribov copies \rightarrow zero eigenvalues of the Faddeev-Popov operator \mathcal{M}^{ab} .
- Copies cannot be reached by small fluctuations around A = 0 (perturbative vacuum) \rightarrow pertubation theory works.
- Once large enough gauge field amplitudes have to be considered (non-perturbative domain) the copies will show up enforcing the effective breakdown of the Faddeev-Popov procedure.

Quantizing Yang-Mills theories: the Gribov approach

• Gribov proposed a way to eliminate (infinitesimal) Gribov copies from the integration measure over gauge fields: **the restriction to the (first) Gribov region** Ω

$$\int [DA]\delta(\partial A) \det(\mathcal{M}) e^{-S_{\rm YM}} \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} [DA]\delta(\partial A) \det(\mathcal{M}) e^{-S_{\rm YM}} \qquad S_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{x} F^{2}$$

with $\Omega = \left\{ A^a_{\mu} \; ; \; \partial A^a = 0, \mathcal{M}^{ab} > 0 \right\}$ $\mathcal{M}^{ab} = -\partial_{\mu} \left(\delta^{ab} \partial_{\mu} + f^{abc} A^c_{\mu} \right) = -\partial_{\mu} D^a_{\mu}$ (Faddeev-Popov operator)



quantity $\sigma(k; A)$ turns out to be a decreasing function becomes entum k. Thus, the no-pole condition becomes

$$\langle \sigma(0;A) \rangle_{1PI} = 1$$
.

4) can be exactly evaluated as

$$\begin{split} A) &= -\frac{g^2}{VD(N^2 - 1)} \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} A^{ab}_{\mu}(-p) \left(\frac{H(A)}{VD(N^2 - 1)} \right) \end{split}$$

he no-pole condition can also be written as

$$\langle H(A) \rangle_{1PI} = VD(N^2 - 1)$$

is known as the Horizon function

$$\sigma(0;A)\rangle_{1PI} = 1 \ .$$

 $\sigma(0,A)$ can be exactly evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(0,A) &= -\frac{g^2}{VD(N^2 - 1)} \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \\ &= \frac{H(A)}{VD(N^2 - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

and the no-pole condition can also be written

$$\langle H(A) \rangle_{1PI} = VD(N^2)$$

10 / 38

7

 ${\cal H}({\cal A})$ is known as the Horizon function

M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, M. S. Guimaraes, L. F. Palhares and S. F (2013).

 $Z = \int [\mathcal{D}\Phi] \,\delta(\partial A) \,\det \mathcal{M} \,e^{-S_{\rm GZ}}$

10 / 38

orm:

Capri, D. Dudal, M. S. Guimaraes, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, Phys_µLett. β /1.9, 448 $A_{\mu}(q)$ hys. Lett. B 719, 448 hys. Lett. B 719, 448

marães (DFT-IF/UERJ)

$$\overline{l})$$

ion can also be written as

$$\langle H(A) \rangle_{1PI} = VD(N^2 - 1)$$

Horizon function

imaraes, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, Phys. Lett. B 719, 448

The Refined Gribov-Zwanziger action

• The GZ theory is unstable against the formation of certain dimension 2 condensates, giving rise to a refinement of the effective IR action:

$$S_{\rm YM} \xrightarrow{{\rm Gribov}} S_{\rm GZ} = S_{\rm YM} + \gamma^4 \mathcal{H}$$

$$\xrightarrow{{\rm Festriction}({\rm UV})} \rightarrow {\rm IR})$$

$$S_{\rm RGZ} = S_{\rm YM} + \gamma^4 \mathcal{H} + \frac{m^2}{2}AA - M^2 \left(\overline{\varphi}\varphi - \overline{\omega}\omega\right)$$

Gap equation for the Gribov param.:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \Rightarrow \langle H(A) \rangle_{1PI} = VD(N^2 - 1)$$

The parameters M and m are obtained via minimization of an effective potential for:

$$\langle \overline{\varphi}\varphi - \overline{\omega}\omega \rangle \neq 0$$
 $\langle A^2 \rangle \neq 0$

• Non-perturbative effects included: (γ, I)

$$(\gamma, M, m) \propto \mathrm{e}^{-rac{1}{g^2}}$$

Letícia F. Palhares (XIV LASNPA @ Mexico City, Jun/2024)

[Dudal et al (2008)]

 \checkmark

- (can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action
- ✓ reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies?

Gribov parameter in the UV

• The one-loop solution of the gap equation in the GZ theory gives:

$$2Ng^2\gamma^4 = \tilde{\gamma}^4 = \mu^4 e^{\frac{5}{3} - \frac{128\pi^2}{3Ng^2}}$$

• Using the definition of the MSbar YM scale Λ (RG-invariant scale):

$$\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^4}{\Lambda} = e^{5/12} \left[\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right]^{\frac{ab_0\pi}{2N}} \qquad \frac{ab_0\pi}{2N} \sim 3.9$$



$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= 300 \mathrm{MeV} \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\mu = 1 \, \mathrm{GeV}) \sim 4 \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\mu = 5 \, \mathrm{GeV}) \sim 0.008 \, \mathrm{MeV} \end{split}$$

← | →

- (can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action
- reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies
- ✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement'? Confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

Schwinger function (computed directly from the gluon propagator):

$$C(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{2\pi} D(p^2) \exp(-ipt).$$

Strictly positive if the gluon spectral function is physical:

$$C(t) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \rho(\omega^2) e^{-\omega t}, \qquad D(p^2) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\mu \frac{\rho(\mu)}{\mu + p^2}.$$

Positivity violation: for the RGZ gluon and in lattice data



SU(3) latt.: [Silva et al (2014)]

(can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies

✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement': confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

✓ consistent with lattice IR results ?



$$\langle A^a_{\mu} A^b_{\nu} \rangle_p = \delta^{ab} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) D(p^2)$$
$$D_{\text{fit}}(p^2) = C \frac{p^2 + s}{p^4 + u^2 \, p^2 + t^2}$$
$$C = 0.56(0.01), \, u = 0.53(0.04) \,\text{GeV},$$
$$t = 0.62(0.01) \,\text{GeV}^2, \, u = 2.6(0.2) \,\text{GeV}^2$$
$$\text{poles:} \, m^2_{\pm} = (0.352 \pm 0.522i) \,\text{GeV}^2$$
$$D_{\text{RGZ}}(p^2) = \frac{p^2 + M^2}{p^4 + (M^2 + m^2)p^2 + 2q^2 N\gamma}$$

NB.: Complex conjugated poles!

← | →

(can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies

✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement': confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

✓ consistent with lattice IR results (propagators, ghost-gluon vertex)
 [See talk by B. Mintz]

← | →

(can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies

✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement': confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

consistent with lattice IR results (propagators, ghost-gluon vertex)

✓ physical spectrum of bound states ??

Glueball masses are obtained by computing two-point correlation functions of composite operators with the appropriate quantum numbers and casting them in the form of a Källén-Lehmann spectral representation. **A lot of caveats of course!**

J^{PC}	confining gluon propagator
0^{++}	2.27
2^{++}	2.34
0^{-+}	2.51
2^{-+}	2.64

Dudal, Guimaraes, Sorella, PRL(2011), PLB(2014)]

-Lattice: (1) Y. Chen *et al.* PRD **73**, 014516 (2006) -Flux tube model: M. Iwasaki *et al.* PRD **68**, 074007 (2003). -Hamiltonian QCD: A. P. Szczepaniak and E. S. Swanson, PLB **577**, 61 (2003). -AdS/CFT: K. Ghoroku, K. Kubo, T. Taminato and F. Toyoda, arXiv:1111.7032. -AdS/CFT: H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga JHEP 0305, 009 (2003)

J^{PC}	Lattice	Flux tube model	Hamiltonian QCD	ADS/CFT
0^{++}	1.71	1.68	1.98	1.21
2^{++}	2.39	2.69	2.42	2.18
0^{-+}	2.56	2.57	2.22	3.05
2^{-+}	3.04	_	—	_

RGZ: Correct hierarchy of masses

← | →

- (can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action
- reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies

✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement': confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

consistent with lattice IR results (propagators, ghost-gluon vertex)

✓ physical spectrum of bound states? Glueballs w/ masses compatible w/ lattice

✓ other applications... [Canfora et al, Sobreiro et al, ...]

√*Exact modified BRST invariance* => *gauge-parameter independence*

BRST-invariant (R)GZ framework in a nutshell

• A gauge-invariant gluon field: [Dell'Antonio & Zwanziger ('89), van Ball ('92), Lavelle & McMullan ('96)]

$$\begin{split} f_{A}[u] &\equiv \min_{\{u\}} \operatorname{Tr} \int d^{d}x \, A^{u}_{\mu} A^{u}_{\mu} & A^{h}_{\mu} = \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}}{\partial^{2}}\right) \phi_{\nu} \\ A^{u}_{\mu} &= u^{\dagger} A_{\mu} u + \frac{i}{g} u^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} u & \phi_{\nu} = A_{\nu} - ig \left[\frac{1}{\partial^{2}} \partial A, A_{\nu}\right] + \frac{ig}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\partial^{2}} \partial A, \partial_{\nu} \frac{1}{\partial^{2}} \partial A\right] + \mathcal{O}(A^{3}) \,. \end{split}$$

• Localization is possible through the introduction of a Stueckelberg field ξ^{a} :

$$A^{h}_{\mu} = (A^{h})^{a}_{\mu} T^{a} = h^{\dagger} A^{a}_{\mu} T^{a} h + \frac{i}{g} h^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} h , \qquad h = e^{ig \xi^{a} T^{a}}$$

• The BRST-invariant Gribov region and condensates: [Capri et al (2016,2017)]

 $\Omega = \{A^{a}_{\mu}; \ \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\mu} = i\alpha b^{a}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ab}(A^{h}) = -\partial_{\mu}D^{ab}_{\mu}(A^{h}) > 0\} \text{ (ex. in Linear Cov. Gauges)}$

$$\langle A^{a}_{\mu}(p)A^{b}_{\nu}(-p)\rangle = \frac{p^{2} + M^{2}}{p^{4} + (M^{2} + m^{2})p^{2} + M^{2}m^{2} + \lambda^{4}} \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}(p)\delta^{ab} + \frac{\alpha_{g}}{p^{2}}L_{\mu\nu}\delta^{ab}$$

$$\langle \bar{\phi}^{ab}_{\mu}\phi^{ab}_{\mu}\rangle \qquad \langle A^{h,a}_{\mu}A^{h,a}_{\mu}\rangle$$

$$c^{a} = \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} c^{b} c^{c} , \qquad s\bar{c}^{a} = ib^{a}$$

$$sb^{a} = 0 , \qquad s\phi^{ab}_{\mu} = 0 , \qquad s\omega^{ab}_{\mu} = 0 , \qquad s\bar{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu} = 0 , \qquad s\epsilon^{a} = 0 , \qquad s(A^{h})^{a}_{\mu} = 0 , \qquad sh^{ij} = -igc^{a}(T^{a})^{ik}h^{kj} .$$

 $sA^{a} = -D^{ab}c^{b}$

← | →

- (can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action
- reduces to QCD (pure gauge) at high energies

✓ consistent with gluon 'confinement': confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

consistent with lattice IR results (propagators, ghost-gluon vertex)

✓ physical spectrum of bound states? Glueballs w/ masses compatible w/ lattice
 ✓ other applications... [Canfora et al]

√*Exact BRST invariance*

[Dudal, Felix, LFP, Rondeau, Vercauteren, EPJC (2019)]
 X no quantitative prediction without fitting lattice data for propagators
 X no general definition of physical operators, unitarity
 X quark confinement properties: linear potential, etc...
 X Minkowski space
 X results for other observables? q-qbar-photon vertex and the AMM

$$e Q_q \mathcal{N} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\frac{\gamma_\nu (\not p + \not k + im_q)\gamma_\mu (\not k + im_q)\gamma_\nu}{photon} \right)$$

$$e Q_q \mathcal{N} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\frac{\gamma_\nu (\not p + \not k + im_q)\gamma_\mu (\not k + im_q)\gamma_\nu}{photon} \right)$$

$$e Q_q \mathcal{N} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\frac{\gamma_\nu (\not p + \not k + im_q)\gamma_\mu (\not k + im_q)\gamma_\nu}{photon} \right)$$

$$Massive \quad GZ \quad RGZ$$

$$Gluon \quad Massive \quad GZ \quad RGZ$$

$$Gluon \quad Confining \quad \frac{1}{l^2 + m_g^2} \quad \frac{l^2}{l^4 + \lambda^4} \quad \frac{l^2 + M_1^2}{l^4 + l^2M_2^2 + M_3^4}$$

The quark-photon vertex



[Mena & LFP, to appear]



Letícia F. Palhares (XIV LASNPA @ Mexico City, Jun/2024)

3.518

0.0

• Estimating the proton AMM from confining models...

0.1

We adopt the simplest Constituent Quark Model to estimate the effect on the proton A....

$$\mu_p^{CQM} = \left[\frac{4}{3}\mu_u - \frac{1}{3}\mu_d\right] \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_q = Q_q \left(\frac{e}{2m_q}\right) \left(1 + Q_q^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right) + C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) \overline{F}_2(0)\right)$$

 CQM parameters: constituent quark mass fixed to proton mass

$$m_q = 363 \text{ MeV } M_p \rightarrow M_p^{exp} \approx 939 \text{ MeV}$$

 Confining model parameters: dynamically generated gluon mass(es) +

strong coupling in the deep IR

m_g	0 MeV	140 MeV	185.64 MeV	600 MeV
$\alpha_s \parallel \lambda_{CF} = 3\alpha_s/4$	0.38 0.091	0.83 0.198	1.00 0.239	3.24 0.773

RGZ model



F

Estimating the proton AMM from confining models...

- I. Confining models even with complex conjugated poles yield reasonable results;
- 2. Dynamically generated gluon masses can be accomodated if the strong coupling is large enough in the IR (or changing other CQM parameters...)
- 3. Still hard to constrain models, but lattice data may help.
 - CQM parameters: constituent quark mass fixed to proton mass

 $m_q = 363 \text{ MeV } M_p \rightarrow M_p^{exp} \approx 939 \text{ MeV}$

 Confining model parameters: dynamically generated gluon mass(es) +

strong coupling in the deep IR



- Dynamical gluon mass generation should occur in IR YM theories.
- The **Gribov problem** is present and should profoundly affect the IR regime of gauge-fixed non-Abelian gauge theories.
- The **RGZ framework** represents a consistent scenario to study the non-perturbative IR physics and has provided *interesting results for correlation functions in the gluon sector fitting lattice propagators*.
- The q-qbar-photon may be calculated on the lattice and offers a window to observables like the anomalous magnetic moment (possibility of parameter and/or model constraining)
- Extend calculations to the quark sector and to observables, in order to further test RGZ predictions.

Thank you for your attention!

BACKUP SLIDES

Letícia F. Palhares (XIV LASNPA @ Mexico City, Jun/2024)

 $\blacklozenge | \blacklozenge$

• To explicitly calculate the values of the condensates in RGZ, one should construct an effective potential for the composite operators:

$$\Sigma[\cdots,\tau,Q] = S + \tau \left(\frac{1}{2}A^{h,a}_{\mu}A^{h,a}_{\mu} + Q \left(\bar{\varphi}^{ac}_{\mu}\varphi^{ac}_{\mu}\right) \stackrel{\text{less formula}}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma[O_A, O_{\varphi}] \stackrel{\text{intrivities}}{\longrightarrow} \langle O_I \rangle$$

- For composite operators (mass dimension 2 or higher) a lot of complications appear!
- In the non-BRST-invariant formulation of RGZ, there could be many more condensates and the full effective potential calculation was never achieved.
 [cf. Dudal, Sorella & Vandersickel (2011)]

The LCO effective potential of GZ theory

• For composite operators (dim 2 or higher), this is not so straightforward...[Verschelde ('95)]

$$\begin{split} \boxed{\Sigma = S + S_{A^2} + S_{\phi\bar{\phi}} + S_{vac}} \begin{cases} S_{A^2} = \int d^d x Z_A (Z_{\tau\tau}\tau + Z_{\tau Q}Q) \frac{1}{2} A^{h,a}_{\mu} A^{h,a}_{\mu}, \\ S_{\bar{\phi}\phi} = \int d^d x Z_{QQ} Z_{\phi} Q \bar{\phi}^{ac}_{\mu} \phi^{ac}_{\mu}, \\ S_{vac} = -\int d^d x \left(\frac{Z_{\zeta}\zeta}{2} \tau^2 + Z_{\alpha} \alpha Q^2 + Z_{\chi} \chi Q \tau \right) \end{cases} \end{split}$$

- Nonlinear terms in the currents necessary to cancel divergences + Mixing
- The usual Legendre transform does not work, but one can use Hubbard-Stratonovich transformations to eliminate these nonlinear terms in the currents and construct an effective potential that can be properly minimized.
- Finite parts of the LCO parameters ζ , α , ξ have to be computed separately, requiring that the effective potential obeys the usual RG equation.

needed (n+1) loops for n-loop results [cf. Dudal, Sorella & Vandersickel (2011]

The LCO effective potential of BRST-inv. GZ theory

- In this talk: [Dudal, Felix, LFP, Rondeau, Vercauteren, EPJC (2019)]
 - BRST-invariance allows us to work with Landau gauge and "only" two condensates (still 4-dim. parameter space, with the Gribov parameter and renormalization scale)
 - More convenient Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation that eliminates the necessity of n+I-loop calculations. Similar technique first used in [Lemes, Sarandy, Sorella (2003)]

$$1 = \int [\mathcal{D}\sigma_{1}] e^{-\frac{1}{2Z_{\zeta}}\int d^{d}x \left(\sigma_{1} + \frac{\bar{a}}{2}A^{2} + \bar{b}Q + \bar{c}\tau\right)^{2}},$$

$$1 = \int [\mathcal{D}\sigma_{2}] e^{+\frac{1}{2Z_{\alpha}}\int d^{d}x \left(\sigma_{2} + \bar{d}\overline{\varphi}\phi + \bar{e}Q + \frac{\bar{f}}{2}A^{2}\right)^{2}},$$

(auxiliary fields σ_1, σ_2 play the role of the composite fields)

coefficients chosen to eliminate nonlinear terms in the currents

$$\begin{split} \bar{a} &= \frac{Z_A Z_{\tau\tau}}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \mu^{\varepsilon/2} \\ \bar{b} &= -\frac{Z_X \chi}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \mu^{-\varepsilon/2}, \\ \bar{c} &= -Z_\zeta \sqrt{\zeta} \mu^{-\varepsilon/2}, \\ \bar{d} &= \frac{Z_\phi Z_{QQ}}{\sqrt{-2\alpha + \frac{Z_X^2 \chi^2}{Z_\alpha Z_\zeta \zeta}}} \mu^{\varepsilon/2}, \\ \bar{e} &= Z_\alpha \sqrt{-2\alpha + \frac{Z_X^2 \chi^2}{Z_\alpha Z_\zeta \zeta}} \mu^{-\varepsilon/2}, \\ \bar{f} &= -\frac{\frac{Z_A Z_{\tau\tau} Z_X \chi}{Z_\zeta \zeta} + Z_A Z_{\tau Q}}{\sqrt{-2\alpha + \frac{Z_X^2 \chi^2}{Z_\alpha Z_\zeta \zeta}}} \mu^{\varepsilon/2}. \end{split}$$

The LCO effective potential of BRST-inv. GZ theory (cont.)

• After introducing the HS identities, current terms are now linear:

$$\begin{split} e^{-\Gamma(Q,\tau)} &= \int [\mathcal{D}\Phi] [\mathcal{D}\sigma_1 \mathcal{D}\sigma_2'] \exp\left[-S_{\rm GZ} - \int d^d x \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2Z_{\zeta}} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{b}^2}{\bar{e}^2} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{Z_{\zeta}}\right) - \frac{\sigma_2'^2}{2Z_{\alpha}} - \frac{\bar{b}}{\bar{e}} \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2'}{Z_{\zeta}} \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2Z_{\zeta}} \left(\bar{a} - \frac{\bar{f}\bar{b}}{\bar{e}}\right) \sigma_1 - \frac{\bar{f}}{2Z_{\alpha}} \sigma_2'\right) A^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{b}\bar{d}}{\bar{e}} \frac{1}{Z_{\zeta}} \sigma_1 + \frac{\bar{d}}{Z_{\alpha}} \sigma_2'\right) \overline{\phi} \phi \\ &+ \frac{\bar{a}^2}{8Z_{\zeta}} (A^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2Z_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{2} A^2 + \bar{d}\overline{\phi}\phi\right)^2 + \frac{\bar{c}}{Z_{\zeta}} \sigma_1 \tau - \frac{\bar{e}}{Z_{\alpha}} \sigma_2' Q\right) \bigg] \end{split}$$

• The condensates are directly related to the sigma fields:

$$\langle A^{h,a}_{\mu} A^{h,a}_{\mu} \rangle \iff m^2 = \sqrt{\frac{13Ng^2}{9(N^2 - 1)}} \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \bar{\phi}^{ab}_{\mu} \phi^{ab}_{\mu} \rangle \iff M^2 = \sqrt{\frac{35Ng^2}{48(N^2 - 1)^2}} \langle \sigma'_2 \rangle$$

One-loop effective potential

• The one-loop effective potential will only involve the quadratic terms in the fluctuations around the condensates. A standard calculation (Tr log of quadratic operators) gives the final analytic result (MSbar scheme):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(m^2, M^2, \lambda^4) &= -\frac{2(N^2 - 1)}{Ng^2} \lambda^4 \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{Ng^2}{16\pi^2} \right) + \frac{9(N^2 - 1)}{13Ng^2} \frac{m^4}{2} - \frac{48(N^2 - 1)^2}{35Ng^2} \frac{M^4}{2} + \frac{(N^2 - 1)^2}{8\pi^2} M^4 \left(-1 + \ln \frac{M^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{3(N^2 - 1)}{64\pi^2} \left(-\frac{5}{6} (m^4 - 2\lambda^4) + \frac{m^4 + M^4 - 2\lambda^4}{2} \ln \frac{m^2 M^2 + \lambda^4}{\bar{\mu}^4} \right) \\ &- (m^2 + M^2) \sqrt{4\lambda^4 - (m^2 - M^2)^2} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{4\lambda^4 - (m^2 - M^2)^2}}{m^2 + M^2} - M^4 \ln \frac{M^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} \right) \,. \end{split}$$

To determine the condensates, one needs to:

I. compute the Gribov parameter lambda through the gap equation: $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\lambda^4} = 0$$

2. minimize the effective potential as a function of the condensates

 The coupling constant and the renormalization scale (related by the RGE) must also be chosen in order to guarantee:

(i) a valid perturbative approx. (above the nonperturbative background);
(ii) valid solutions of the multi-dimensional extremization problem... **NOT EASY...**

• We were only able to find solutions meeting these criteria by considering a generic renormalization scheme, changing the first term in the effective potential to:

$$\frac{2(N^2-1)}{Ng^2}\lambda^4\left(1-\left(\frac{3}{8}-b_0\right)\frac{Ng^2}{16\pi^2}\right)$$

- Now we have acceptable solutions, but the parameter b0 is NOT self-consistently determined. Applying the Principle of Minimal Sensitivity also does not work...
- A robust result is the instability of the zero-condensate case, meaning that GZ (scaling solution) is not even an acceptable phase of the theory [d=4].

[Dudal, Felix, LFP, Rondeau, Vercauteren, EPJC (2019)]

Numerics: minimizing the one-loop effective potential of GZ



[Dudal, Felix, LFP, Rondeau, Vercauteren, EPJC (2019)]

We were able to show that for both SU(3) and SU(2) the renormalization scheme (i.e. b0) can be chosen to give proper minima of the effective potential describing the available lattice data:

$$\begin{split} \frac{g^2 N}{16\pi^2} &= 0.40 \ , \qquad \bar{\mu} = 1.41 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}} = 0.31 \ {\rm GeV} \ , \\ \Gamma &= -24 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^4 = -0.059 \ {\rm GeV}^4 \ , \qquad \lambda^4 = 28 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^4 = 0.071 \ {\rm GeV}^4 \ , \\ m^2 &= 2.6 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^2 = 0.13 \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ , \qquad M^2 = 7.8 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^2 = 0.39 \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ . \end{split}$$

SU(3) Lattice data: [Cucchieri, Dudal, Mendes, Vanderscikel (2012)]

$$\begin{split} \frac{g^2 N}{16\pi^2} &= 1.24 \ , \qquad \bar{\mu} = 1.12 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}} = 0.37 \ {\rm GeV} \ , \\ \Gamma &= -0.38 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^4 = -0.0046 \ {\rm GeV}^4 \ , \qquad \lambda^4 = 9.1 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^4 = 0.109 \ {\rm GeV}^4 \\ m^2 &= 2.3 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^2 = 0.25 \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ , \qquad M^2 = 2.9 \ \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^2 = 0.32 \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ . \end{split}$$

SU(2) Lattice data: [Dudal,Oliveira,Silva (2018)]

Unstable GZ and (stable) RGZ minimum

[Dudal, Felix, LFP, Rondeau, Vercauteren, EPJC (2019)]



LCO parameter

 J^2 is always needed in the counterterm, and the starting action needs to display a term³ ζJ^2 . The novel parameter ζ , called the LCO parameter, is needed to absorb the divergences in J^2 , i.e. $\delta \zeta J^2$. With the inclusion of the term ζJ^2 , the functional W(J) obeys the following homogeneous RGE

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta(g^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial g^2} - \gamma_J(g^2)\int d^4x J\frac{\delta}{\delta J} + \eta(g^2,\zeta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\right)W(J) = 0, \qquad (21)$$

with $\eta(g^2, \zeta)$ the running of ζ ,

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \zeta = \eta(g^2, \zeta) . \tag{22}$$

Notice that it is necessary to include the running of ζ at this point.

Now the question is, how can we determine this seemingly arbitrary parameter ζ ? This is possible by employing the renormalization group equations. We can write

$$\zeta_0 J_0^2 = \mu^{-\varepsilon} (\zeta J^2 + \delta \zeta J^2) , \qquad (23)$$

whereby the second term of the r.h.s. represents the counterterm. As the l.h.s. is independent from μ , we can derive both sides w.r.t. μ to find:

$$-\varepsilon(\zeta+\delta\zeta) + \left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\zeta + \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}(\delta\zeta)\right) - 2\gamma_J(g^2)(\zeta+\delta\zeta) = 0, \qquad (24)$$

whereby $\gamma_J(g^2)$ is the anomalous dimension of J. As we can consider ζ to be a function of g^2 , and by evoking the β function,

 $\beta(g^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial g^2}\zeta(g^2) = 2\gamma_J(g^2)\zeta + f(g^2) .$ (26)

with $f(g^2) = \varepsilon \delta \zeta - \beta(g^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial g^2}(\delta \zeta) + 2\gamma_G(g^2) \delta \zeta$. The general solution of this differential equation reads

$$\zeta(g^2) = \zeta_p(g^2) + \alpha \exp\left(2\int_1^{g^2} \frac{\gamma_J(z)}{\beta(z)} dz\right) , \qquad (27)$$

with $\zeta_p(g^2)$ a particular solution of (26). A possible particular solution is given by

$$\zeta_p(g^2) = \frac{c_0}{g^2} + c_1 \hbar + c_2 g^2 \hbar^2 + \dots$$
(28)

whereby we have temporarily introduced the dependence on \hbar . Notice therefore that the *n*-loop result for $\zeta(p^2)$ will require the (n+1) loop results of $\beta(g^2)$, $\gamma_J(g^2)$ and $f(g^2)$. As we would like ζ to be multiplicatively renormalizable, we set $\alpha = 0$. In this case we have that

$$\zeta(g^2) + \delta\zeta(g^2) = \zeta_0 = Z_\zeta\zeta(g^2) , \qquad (29)$$

and we have removed the independent parameter α . Also, now that ζ is a function of g^2 , the RGE (21) becomes

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta(g^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial g^2} - \gamma_J(g^2)\int d^4x J\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)W(J) = 0, \qquad (30)$$

as deriving w.r.t. ζ is now incorporated in deriving w.r.t. g^2 .

After determining the LCO parameter ζ , the next step is to calculate the effective action by doing a Legendre transformation. However, it shall be easier to perform a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation on W(J), whereby we introduce an auxiliary field σ describing the composite operator O. In this way, we can get rid of the quadratic term in J^2 and a clear relation with the effective action emerges, as it will

$$S_{YM} = \frac{1}{4} \int dx F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu},$$

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$

• The quantum theory may be formulated in a path-integral approach:

$$\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-S_{YM}}$$

• Gauge reduncancy must be properly fixed to work with these dofs:

$$A_{\mu} \to U A_{\mu} U^{\dagger} - U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}$$

We only know how to do it perturbatively!

Quantizing Yang-Mills theory perturbatively

Faddeev-Popov procedure:

 The procedure amounts to disentangle the gauge redundancy from the integral measure

$$\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-S_{YM}} \to \int \mathcal{D}\Omega \int \mathcal{D}A \, \delta[G(A)] \det \mathcal{M}e^{-S_{YM}}$$

supposing we can write

$$\int \mathcal{D}\Omega \,\delta[G(A)] \det \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{1}$$

with the Faddeev-Popov operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{ab}(A) = \frac{\delta G^a[A^{(g)}]}{\delta \Omega^b} \bigg|_{\Omega=0}$$

Quantizing Yang-Mills theories: the Gribov approach

• Gribov proposed a way to eliminate (infinitesimal) Gribov copies from the integration measure over gauge fields: **the restriction to the (first) Gribov region** Ω

$$\int [DA]\delta(\partial A) \det(\mathcal{M}) e^{-S_{\rm YM}} \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} [DA]\delta(\partial A) \det(\mathcal{M}) e^{-S_{\rm YM}} \qquad S_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{x} F^{2}$$

with $\Omega = \{A^a_{\mu} ; \partial A^a = 0, \mathcal{M}^{ab} > 0\}$ $\mathcal{M}^{ab} = -\partial_{\mu} \left(\delta^{ab}\partial_{\mu} + f^{abc}A^c_{\mu}\right) = -\partial_{\mu}D^a_{\mu}$ (Faddeev-Popov operator)



The FP operator is related to the ghost 2-point function:

$$\mathcal{G}^{ab}(k;A) = \langle k | c^a \bar{c}^b | k \rangle = \langle k | \left(\mathcal{M}^{ab} \right)^{-1} | k \rangle$$

positivity of $\mathcal{M}^{ab} \longleftrightarrow$ **No-pole condition** for the ghost prop. [Gribov (1978)] Gribov parameter in the UV

• The one-loop solution of the gap equation in the GZ theory gives:

$$2Ng^2\gamma^4 = \tilde{\gamma}^4 = \mu^4 e^{\frac{5}{3} - \frac{128\pi^2}{3Ng^2}}$$

• Using the definition of the MSbar YM scale Λ (RG-invariant scale):

$$\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^4}{\Lambda} = e^{5/12} \left[\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right]^{\frac{ab_0\pi}{2N}} \qquad \frac{ab_0\pi}{2N} \sim 3.9$$



$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= 300 \mathrm{MeV} \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\mu = 1 \, \mathrm{GeV}) \sim 4 \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\mu = 5 \, \mathrm{GeV}) \sim 0.008 \, \mathrm{MeV} \end{split}$$

(can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD at high energies

✓ gluon confinement: confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

✓ consistent with lattice IR results ?



$$\langle A^a_{\mu} A^b_{\nu} \rangle_p = \delta^{ab} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) D(p^2)$$
$$D_{\text{fit}}(p^2) = C \frac{p^2 + s}{p^4 + u^2 p^2 + t^2}$$
$$C = 0.56(0.01), \ u = 0.53(0.04) \text{ GeV},$$
$$t = 0.62(0.01) \text{ GeV}^2, \ u = 2.6(0.2) \text{ GeV}^2$$
$$\text{poles:} \ m^2_{\pm} = (0.352 \pm 0.522i) \text{ GeV}^2$$
$$D_{\text{RGZ}}(p^2) = \frac{p^2 + M^2}{p^4 + (M^2 + m^2)p^2 + 2g^2 N\gamma}$$

✓ consistent with lattice IR results

← | →

(can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD at high energies

✓ gluon confinement: confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity)

✓ consistent with lattice IR results

✓ physical spectrum of bound states ??

Glueball masses are obtained by computing two-point correlation functions of composite operators with the appropriate quantum numbers and casting them in the form of a Källén-Lehmann spectral representation.

J^{PC}	confining gluon propagator
0^{++}	2.27
2^{++}	2.34
0^{-+}	2.51
2^{-+}	2.64

[Dudal,Guimaraes,Sorella, PRL(2011), PLB(2014)]

-Lattice: (1) Y. Chen *et al.* PRD **73**, 014516 (2006) -Flux tube model: M. Iwasaki *et al.* PRD **68**, 074007 (2003). -Hamiltonian QCD: A. P. Szczepaniak and E. S. Swanson, PLB **577**, 61 (2003). -AdS/CFT: K. Ghoroku, K. Kubo, T. Taminato and F. Toyoda, arXiv:1111.7032. -AdS/CFT: H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga JHEP 0305, 009 (2003)

J^{PC}	Lattice	Flux tube model	Hamiltonian QCD	ADS/CFT
0^{++}	1.71	1.68	1.98	1.21
2^{++}	2.39	2.69	2.42	2.18
0^{-+}	2.56	2.57	2.22	3.05
2^{-+}	3.04	_	—	_

RGZ: Correct hierarchy of masses

RGZ action and soft breaking of BRST symmetry

• The Faddeev-Popov action in the Landau gauge

$$S_{\rm YM} = \int_x \left(\frac{1}{4} F^2 + b^a \partial A^a + \bar{c}^a (\partial_\mu D_\mu)^{ab} c^b \right)$$

is invariant under BRST transformations:

$$sA^{a}_{\mu} = -D^{ab}_{\mu}c^{b}$$
 $sc^{a} = \frac{g}{2}f^{abc}c^{b}c^{c}$ $s\bar{c}^{a} = b^{a}$ $sb^{a} = 0$

• The restriction to the Gribov region breaks this BRST symmetry: the horizon term is not invariant

$$S_{\rm GZ} = S_{\rm YM} + \gamma^4 \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \qquad sS_{\rm GZ} = \gamma^2 \Delta$$
$$\Delta = \int_x \left[-g f^{abc} (D^{am}_{\mu} c^m) (\varphi^{bc}_{\mu} + \bar{\varphi}^{bc}_{\mu}) + g f^{abc} A^a_{\mu} \omega^{bc}_{\mu} \right]$$

- The breaking terms have dimension less than D=4, so that they correspond to SOFT terms.
- The softness is crucial for keeping the UV intact.
 Perturbative results recovered at high energies!
- Can be understood as a <u>non-perturbative BRST symmetry</u>, <u>that controls gauge-par. dependence and the extension to</u> [Capri et al, (2015,20] <u>other gauges</u>

▲ The Faddeev-Popov operator and BRST breaking

• The restriction to the Gribov region is a constraint on a quantum operator: $\mathcal{M}^{ab} \geq 0$

should naturally affect all correlation functions built with it...

$$\int [D\mu] e^{-S} \stackrel{\text{IR}}{\mapsto} \int [D\mu]_{\Omega} e^{-S} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}\tilde{R} \rangle \sim 1/k^4 \\ \langle \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{-1} \rangle \sim 1/k^4 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \approx \int A\mathcal{M}^{-1}$$

... including the ones with <u>matter fields</u>: $F^I = (\psi^i, \phi^a)$

$$\mathcal{R}_F = g \int_z (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^{ab} (x, z) (T^b)^{IJ} F^J(z) \qquad \langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_F \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_F \rangle$$

Can in principle be checked on the lattice!

How to construct an IR effective **gauge-matter** action that can account for these correlations?



Osterwalder-Schrader axiom of reflection positivity $\int d^4x d^4y f^{\dagger}(-x_0, \vec{x}) \Delta(x-y) f(y_0, \vec{y}) \ge 0$

where f is a test function with support for positive times. Making a Fourier transformation, one gets the condition

 $\int dt dt' d^4 y f^{\dagger}(t', \vec{p}) \Delta((t'-t), \vec{p}) f(t, \vec{p}) \ge 0 \quad \forall \vec{p}$

If $\Delta(t'-t, p)$ is negative within any domain, it is easy to find a test function which will pinpoint this negative region, thus violating the O-S positivity. In practice, one looks at p=0

$$\Delta(t) = \int dp \ e^{itp} \tilde{\Delta}(p^2 = p_4^2)$$

J

Confined quarks: violation of positivity





 $\sigma_s(p^2) = \frac{\mathcal{A}(p^2)}{p_s^2 \mathcal{A}(p^2)}$ [Dudal,Guimarae,F,LFP,Sorella, Pnnal s. 365 (2010

From confined quarks to a meson...

[Dudal,Guimaraes,LFP,Sorella, Annals Phys. 365 (2010



Thermodynamics of hot and dense confined quarks

After the determinant in spinor space,

[Guimaraes, Mintz, LFP, PRD92 (2015) 085029]

$$\log Z(T,\mu) = 2N_c N_f \beta V \sum_{n,\vec{p}} \log \left[\beta^2 \left(\omega_n^2 + \vec{p}^2 + M_{n,\vec{p}}^2(0)\right)\right] \qquad M_{n,\vec{p}}(\mu) = \frac{M_3}{-(i\omega_n^2 + \mu)^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m_0.$$

The result is the sum of five terms of the standard free-field form:

$$\log Z(T,\mu) = 2N_c N_f \beta V \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \log \left[\beta^2 (\omega_n^2 + \phi_i^2) \right] - 2 \log \left[\beta^2 (\omega_n^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2) \right] \right\}$$

where $-\phi_i^2$ are the roots of the polynomial P(ω_n^2). (Explicitly calculable.)

with the Matsubara sum yielding:

$$\left(T\sum_{n} \log[\beta^2(\omega_n^2 + E^2)]\right)_{\text{th}} = 2T\log[1 + \exp(-\beta E)]$$

Confining toy model

• *Free' confining theory*: reproduces a propagator of the RGZ type at tree level

The simplest model leading to this type of propagator is of the form

$$S = \int d^D x \frac{1}{2} \psi \left(-\partial^2 + M^2 + 2 \frac{\theta^4}{-\partial^2 + m^2} \right) \psi$$
(2.3)

from which one easily checks that the scalar field ψ has a free propagator $\langle \psi(k)\psi(-k)\rangle = D(k)$. This model can be rewritten in a local form through the introduction of auxiliary fields

$$S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} \psi (-\partial^2 + M^2) \psi - \bar{\phi} (-\partial^2 + m^2) \phi + \theta^2 \psi (\phi + \bar{\phi}) + \bar{\omega} (-\partial^2 + m^2) \omega \right)$$
(2.4)

where $(\phi, \overline{\phi})$ is a pair of bosonic complex conjugated fields and $(\omega, \overline{\omega})$ is a pair of anticommuting fields.

Diagonalization of this quadratic action gives (omitting decoupled contribs.):

$$S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda (-\partial^2 + m^2 + i\sqrt{2}\theta^2)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\eta (-\partial^2 + m^2 - i\sqrt{2}\theta^2)\eta \right)$$

Why soft BRST breaking in quark sector?

The RGZ scenario is characterized by a soft BRST breaking generated by the restriction of the gauge path integral to the Gribov region.

- BRST symmetry: an extension of the gauge symmetry which remains intact even for gauge fixed actions.
- Defined by a nilpotent operator s (i.e. $s^2 = 0$).
- Path integrals in Yang-Mills theory are dictated by a BRST-invariant action:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu} + \frac{ib^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu c^b}{\text{gauge fixing (Landau)}} = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu} + \frac{s \Delta_{GF}}{\text{BRST exact}}$$

• The {Refined}Gribov-Zwanziger action breaks BRST softly through the 'horizon function'

$$\mathcal{L}_{\{R\}GZ} = \mathcal{L}_{YM} + H + \left\{\frac{m}{2}A^a_\mu A^a_\mu - M\overline{\varphi}^{ab}_\mu \varphi^{ab}_\mu\right\}$$

How to extend this description to the quark sector? Construct a soft BRST breaking model for the quark sector!

🕜 Confining propagator: light vs strange quarks 🤤 🕩



 $\mu_f = 11.5 \text{ MeV}$ (up, down; degenerate)

[Furui et al PRD73,074503(2006)]

• Decomposing the denominators we find **unphysical contributions**:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{up, down:} & \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_{u,d}^2)^2 \left[k^2 + \mathcal{A}_{u,d}^2\right]} = \frac{R}{k^2 + \omega} + \frac{R_r + i\alpha}{k^2 + \omega_r + i\theta} + \frac{R_r - i\alpha}{k^2 + \omega_r - i\theta} \\ \\ \textbf{strange:} & \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_s^2)^2 \left[k^2 + \mathcal{A}_s^2\right]} = \frac{R_1}{k^2 + \omega_1} + \frac{-R_2}{k^2 + \omega_2} + \frac{R_3}{k^2 + \omega_3} \end{array}$$

This model: $\sqrt{quark confinement!}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{IRQCD}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{RGZ}} - \overline{\psi} \left[\gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mu \right] \psi - 2M_{1}^{2} M_{2} \overline{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{\partial^{2} - m^{2}} \right) \psi$$

This model with soft BRST breaking in the quark sector:

 \checkmark (can be cast in a) local and renormalizable action

reduces to QCD at high energies

quark confinement: confining propagator (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity: to be checked!)
 consistent with lattice IR results.

✓ Meson spectrum?

Meson masses from a confining quark prop.

General Strategy: [cf. also Marcelo S. Guimarães' talk on Monday]

I. Write down *pure composite operators* \mathcal{O}_{state} which contain information of (and only of) the meson state of interest: with the same quantum numbers and being conserved;

2. Compute the associated **2-point function**:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int d^4 x \, e^{ikx} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}(0) \rangle$$

{ - using confining quark propagators with
parameters from lattice,
- I-loop approximation in our model;



3. Obtain the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int_0^\infty d\tau \; \frac{\rho_{\text{state}}(\tau)}{\tau + k^2} + \text{rest}$$

using **Cutkosky rules** (and their generalization for complex masses);

4. Estimate the mass of the (physical) propagating mode from the spectral function:
 is using SVZ-like Sum Rule, Padé approximants, etc.

Mesonic pure composite operators

 \checkmark Write down **pure composite operators** \mathcal{O}_{state} which contain information of (and only of) the meson state of interest: with the same quantum numbers and being conserved;

pions: large explicit chiral symm. breaking \Rightarrow NO pseudo-Goldstone bosons

$$\begin{split} \rho \text{ meson: } & (\bar{u}d, \bar{d}u, \bar{u}u, \bar{d}d \approx \bar{q}q), \text{ JPC=I} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\rho} &= \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q \\ \textbf{a_{I} meson: } & (\bar{u}d, \bar{d}u, \bar{u}u, \bar{d}d \approx \bar{q}q), \text{ JPC=I} \\ \mathcal{O}_{a_{1}} &= (\partial^{2}\delta_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu})\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}q \\ \textbf{\phi meson: } & (\bar{s}s), \text{ JPC=I} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi} &= \bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}s \end{split}$$

Meson masses from a confining quark prop.

General Strategy: [cf. also Marcelo S. Guimarães' talk on Monday]

 \checkmark Write down **pure composite operators** $\mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}$ which contain information of (and only of) the meson state of interest: with the same quantum numbers and being conserved;

2. Compute the associated **2-point function**:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int d^4 x \, e^{ikx} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}(0) \rangle$$

{ - using confining quark propagators with
parameters from lattice,
- I-loop approximation in our model;



3. Obtain the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int_0^\infty d\tau \; \frac{\rho_{\text{state}}(\tau)}{\tau + k^2} + \text{rest}$$

using **Cutkosky rules** (and their generalization for complex masses);

4. Estimate the mass of the (physical) propagating mode from the spectral function:
 is using SVZ-like Sum Rule, Padé approximants, etc.

2-pt function of composite operators

Compute the associated **2-point function**:

confining quark prop. $\mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \star \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \qquad \langle \psi_f \bar{\psi}_f \rangle_k = \frac{i \gamma_\mu k_\mu + \mathcal{A}_f(k^2)}{k^2 + \mathcal{A}_f^2(k^2)} \qquad \mathcal{A}_f(k^2) = \frac{M_f^3}{k^2 + m_{\star}^2} + \mu_f$ confining quark prop. $\langle [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]^{\dagger}_{\mu} [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]_{\mu} \rangle_{k} = \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \left[i\gamma_{\delta}(k_{\delta} - q_{\delta}) + \mathcal{A}\left((k - q)^{2}\right)\right] \gamma_{\mu} \left[i\gamma_{\sigma}q_{\sigma} + \mathcal{A}(q^{2})\right] \gamma_{\mu} \right\}}{\left[(k - q)^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{2}\left((k - q)^{2}\right)\right] \left[q^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{2}(q^{2})\right]}$ $= \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} f_{\rho}(k,q) \frac{1}{\left[(k-q)^2 \left[(k-q)^2 + m^2\right]^2 + \left\{M^3 + \mu \left[(k-q)^2 + m^2\right]\right\}^2\right]}$ $\frac{1}{\left[q^{2}\left[q^{2}+m^{2}\right]^{2}+\left\{M^{3}+\mu\left[q^{2}+m^{2}\right]\right\}^{2}\right]}$

Obs.: different states \Rightarrow different gamma matrix structure and/or parameters (light or strange sector); extra projectors for a_1 .

Obs2.: confining propagators: to be decomposed in 3 poles each, for using cut rules.

2-pt function of composite operators

✓ Compute the associated **2-point function**:

$$\left\{\frac{R}{q^2+\omega} + \frac{R_r+i\alpha}{q^2+\omega_r+i\theta} + \frac{R_r-i\alpha}{q^2+\omega_r-i\theta}\right\}$$

Obs.: different states \Rightarrow different gamma matrix structure and/or parameters (light or strange sector); extra projectors for a_1 .

Obs2.: confining propagators: to be decomposed in 3 poles each, for using cut rules.

Meson masses from a confining quark prop.

General Strategy: [cf. also Marcelo S. Guimarães' talk on Monday]

 \checkmark Write down **pure composite operators** $\mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}$ which contain information of (and only of) the meson state of interest: with the same quantum numbers and being conserved;

✓ Compute the associated **2-point function**:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int d^4 x \, \mathrm{e}^{ikx} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}(0) \rangle$$

using confining quark propagators with parameters from lattice,
 I-loop approximation in our model;



3. Obtain the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int_0^\infty d\tau \; \frac{\rho_{\text{state}}(\tau)}{\tau + k^2} + \text{rest}$$

using **Cutkosky rules** (and their generalization for complex masses);

4. Estimate the mass of the (physical) propagating mode from the spectral function:
 im using SVZ-like Sum Rule, Padé approximants, etc.

Cut rules and physical spectral function

 \checkmark Obtain the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{state}} \rangle_k$,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{st}} \rangle_{k} = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \, \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{state}}(\tau)}{\tau + k^{2}} + \mathrm{rest} \qquad \text{with} \ \rho_{\mathrm{state}}(\tau) = \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{st}} \rangle_{k=(E,0)} \right] \Big|_{\mathrm{physical}}$$

using **Cutkosky rules (and their generalization for complex masses):** [Dudal & Guimarães PRD83,045013(2011)]

$$\mathcal{F}(m_1^2, m_2^2) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 - m_1^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_2^2} f(k,q) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}$$

• Our composite correlator has nine contributions of this form: $\langle [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]^{\dagger}_{\mu} [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]_{\mu} \rangle_{k} = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(\omega_{i}, \omega_{j})$

$$\langle [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]^{\dagger}_{\mu} [\mathcal{O}_{\rho}]_{\mu} \rangle_{k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\tau \sum_{i,j} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{F}(\omega_{i},\omega_{j})}{k^{2} + \tau} \stackrel{!}{=} \int d\tau \frac{\rho_{\rho}(\tau)}{\tau + k^{2}} + \mathrm{rest}$$

<u>Criterium</u>: only terms with **good** analytical behavior (i.e. positive) contribute to the physical spectral function.

Meson masses from a confining quark prop.

<u>General Strategy:</u> [cf. also Marcelo S. Guimarães' talk on Monday]

 \checkmark Write down **pure composite operators** $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{state}}$ which contain information of (and only of) the meson state of interest: with the same quantum numbers and being conserved;

Compute the associated **2-point function**:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int d^4 x \, \mathrm{e}^{ikx} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}(0) \rangle$$

using confining quark propagators with parameters from lattice,
 I-loop approximation in our model;



 \checkmark Obtain the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text{state}} \rangle_k = \int_0^\infty d\tau \; \frac{\rho_{\text{state}}(\tau)}{\tau + k^2} + \text{rest}$$

using **Cutkosky rules** (and their generalization for complex masses);

4. Estimate the mass of the (physical) propagating mode from the spectral function: using SVZ-like Sum Rule, Padé approximants, etc.

Meson spectrum: preliminary results

State	Current quark mass µf ^{phys}	μ _f on lattice	Physical mass	Estimates Confining prop.	Ratio
ρ	I.7 < μ _u < 3.I 4.I < μ _d < 5.7	μ _u = μ _d = ΙΙ.5	770	1030	1.34
aı			1260	2030	1.62
φ	80 < µs < 130	μs = 82.2	1020	1222	1.20

Significantly larger deviations in the light sector due to large up and down masses on the lattice:

$$\frac{m_{\pi}^{\text{latt}}}{m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\mu_u^{\text{latt}} + \mu_d^{\text{latt}}}{\mu_u^{\text{phys}} + \mu_d^{\text{phys}}}} \approx 1.60$$

• Fixing parameters to reproduce the rho meson mass, we get the a_1 mass ratio < 1.20.

• Extrapolation of lattice results to physical masses.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi \longrightarrow$$

in the infrared soft BRST breaking triggered by Gribov horizon

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{IRQCD}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{RGZ}} + \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi + s \mathcal{L}_{m}(\xi, \lambda, \cdots) + \mathcal{L}_{M}(\xi, \lambda, \psi)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_M(\xi, \lambda, \psi) = M_1^2 (\bar{\xi}\psi + \bar{\psi}\xi) - M_2 (\bar{\lambda}\psi + \bar{\psi}\lambda).$

Iocal and renormalizable action

✓ reduces to full QCD at high energies

✓ gluon and quark confinement: confining propagators (no physical propagation; violation of reflection positivity) consistent with lattice IR results.

✓ glueballs spectrum: good agreement with lattice
 ✓ meson spectrum: sensible results (Preliminary)

X no dynamical description (work in progress on SSB model)

X pion and kaon masses

X no general definition of physical operators
 X predictions depend on lattice data for propagators

† connection between confinement and chiral symmetry?

Landau vs Coulomb gauges; M vs Z



 \therefore 6. Landau vs. Coulomb gauge (top): chiral behaviour of M (bottom)





 $\left(\frac{1}{6}F^{a}_{\rho\sigma}\right) - \frac{1}{6}P_{k\mu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}F^{a}_{\alpha\beta}F^{a}_{\rho\sigma}$ ator < O^{PC}(k) O^{PC}(-k) > and cast it i sentation $\langle z \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} d\tau \frac{\rho(\tau)}{k^2 + \tau}$