### Nuclear charge radii and E0 transitions in the IBM

S. Zerguine & A. Bouldjedri, University of Batna, Algeria S. Heinze, University of Cologne, Germany P. Van Isacker, GANIL, France Motivation Charge radii and E0 transitions Application to rare-earth nuclei

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Motivation

Origin of E0 transitions in nuclei: Mixing of coexisting configurations with different shapes (Heyde & Wood); Between β-vibrational states in the geometric collective model (Reiner).

- In a geometric framework E0 strength
  should rise in the transition from
  spherical to deformed ⇒ Link with
  phase transitions in nuclei (von
  Brentano et al.).
- Simultaneous treatment of charge radii and E0 transitions Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

## Electric monopole (E0) transitions

The probability for an E0 transition to occur is given by  $P=\Omega\rho^2$  with  $\Omega$ and  $\rho^2$  electronic and nuclear factors.

The nuclear factor is the matrix  $p = n_k f \left( \frac{r_k}{R} \right)^2 - \sigma \left( \frac{r_k}{R} \right)^2 + \cdots |i\rangle \quad \left( R = r_0 A^{1/3}, r_0 = 1.2 \text{ fm} \right)$ 

Higher-order terms are usually not considered, σ=0, (cfr. Church & Weneser) and hence contact, issemadered, 103 with the margin Physics. Cocourt May 2012<sup>35</sup>

# E0 and charge radius operators

Definition of a 'charge radius

$$\langle \mathbf{s} | \hat{T}(\mathbf{r}^2) \mathbf{s} \rangle \equiv \langle \mathbf{r}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{k \in \text{protons}}^{Z} \langle \mathbf{s} | \mathbf{r}_k^2 | \mathbf{s} \rangle \Longrightarrow \hat{T}(\mathbf{r}^2) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{k \in \text{protons}}^{Z} \mathbf{r}_k^2$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Definition} \\ \langle \mathbf{f} | T(\mathbf{E0}) \mathbf{i} \rangle \\ \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{rator} \\ eR^2 \end{array} \stackrel{\text{of an `E0}_{Z} \text{transition}}{\Rightarrow} \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{E0}) \sigma = 0 \sum_{k \in \text{protons}} r_k^2 \\ k \in \text{protons} \end{array}$$

 $\hat{T}(E0) = eZ\hat{T}(r^2)$ Hence we find the following (standard) relation:

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Effective charges

Addition of neutrons produces a change in the charge radius  $\Rightarrow$  need for effective charges.

Generalized operators:  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{s} = \frac{1}{e_{n}N + e_{p}Z} \sum_{k=1}^{A} \langle s|e_{k}r_{k}^{2}|s \rangle \Rightarrow \hat{T}(r^2) = \frac{1}{e_{n}N + e_{p}Z} \sum_{k=1}^{A} e_{k}r_{k}^{2}$  $\hat{T}(E0) = \sum_{k=1}^{A} e_{k}r_{k}^{2}$ 

Generalized (non-standard) relation:  $\hat{T}(E0) = (e_n N + e_p Z) \hat{T}(r^2)$ 

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

# E0 transitions in nuclear models

Nuclear shell model: E0 transitions between states in a single oscillator shell vanish.

Geometric collective model: Strong E0 transitions occur between  $\beta$ - and ground-state band.

Interacting boson model (intermediate
 between shell model and collective
 model): The IBM can be used to test
 the relation between radii and E0
 transitions.

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

## Application to rare-earth nuclei

Application to even-even nuclei with Z=58-74.

Procedure:

Fix IBM hamiltonian parameters from spectra with special care to the spherical-to-deformed transitional region.

Determine  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  from measured isotope and isomer shifts.

Calculate  $ho^2$  (depends on  $\eta$  only).

S. Zerguine et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 022502 Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc<sup>S</sup>, May 2012 al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 034331

#### Energy spectra

The standard (1+2)-body IBM  $\hat{H} = \hat{e} \hat{n}_d^1 + \hat{a}_0 \hat{P}_+ \hat{P}_- + \hat{a}_1 \hat{L} \cdot \hat{L} + \hat{a}_2 \hat{Q} \cdot \hat{Q} + \hat{a}_3 \hat{T}_3 \cdot \hat{T}_3 + \hat{a}_4 \hat{T}_4 \cdot \hat{T}_4$ 

Constant parameters for a given isotopi $N_{\nu}N_{\pi}$ hain except for the  $a_{2} = a'_{2} + \frac{1}{N_{\nu}}a''_{\pi}a''_{\pi}$ strength:

# Example: gadolinium isotopes



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

# Example: gadolinium isotopes



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

# Example: gadolinium isotopes



### Charge radii

The charge radius operator in IBM:

$$\hat{T}(r^2) = \langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{core}} + \alpha N_b + \eta \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b}$$

Standard parametrization (cfr. Iachello and Arima):  $\hat{T}(r^2) = \langle r^2 \rangle_{corr} + \alpha' N_b + \eta' \hat{n}_d$ 

F. Iachello and A. Arima, The Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012 ng Boson Model

#### Isotope shifts

Isotopes shifts depend on the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ :  $\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle \equiv \langle r^2 \rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A+2)} - \langle r^2 \rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A)} = |\alpha| + \eta \left( \left\langle \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b} \right\rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A+2)} - \left\langle \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b} \right\rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A)} \right)$ 

 $\alpha$  (linear slope) varies between 0.10 and 0.25 fm²;

 $\begin{array}{c} \eta \ (deformation \ dependence) \ equals \ 0.5 \ fm^2 \\ (constant \ for \ all \ nuclei) \\ \Lambda \left< r_{d}^2 \right> = \left< r_{d}^2 \right> \left< r_{d+2}^2 \right> \left< r_{d+2}^2$ 

#### Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in cerium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in neodymium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in samarium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

# Isotope shifts in gadolinium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

## Isotope shifts in dysprosium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in erbium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in ytterbium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in hafnium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isotope shifts in tungsten



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Isomer shifts

Isotopes shifts depend on the parameter  $\eta$ :  $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle \equiv \langle r^2 \rangle_{2_1^+}^{(A)} - \langle r^2 \rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A)} = \eta \left( \left\langle \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b} \right\rangle_{2_1^+}^{(A)} - \left\langle \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b} \right\rangle_{0_1^+}^{(A)} \right)$ 

 $\eta$  (deformation dependence) equals 0.5 fm² (constant for all nuclei).

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Standard}_{2} \left\langle \vec{r}^{2} \right\rangle_{2_{1}^{+}} - \left\langle \vec{r}^{2} \right\rangle_{0_{1}^{+}} = \eta \left( \left\langle \vec{n}_{d} \right\rangle_{2_{1}^{+}} - \left\langle \vec{n}_{d} \right\rangle_{0_{1}^{+}} \right) \end{array}$$

#### Isomer shifts



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

## EO transitions and $ho^2$ values

We apply the general relation between E0 and charge-radius operators.

The EO operator in the IBM is  $\hat{T}(\hat{r}) \stackrel{\text{re}}{\to} \hat{r}_{core} + \alpha N_b + \eta \frac{\hat{n}_d}{N_b} \Rightarrow \hat{T}(EO) = \eta \frac{e_n N + e_p Z}{N_b} \hat{n}_d$ 

 $In \hat{T} (\hat{r}^{2}) \stackrel{\text{andard}}{=} \langle r \rangle_{\text{core}}^{2} + \alpha N_{b} + \eta n_{d} \stackrel{\text{right}}{\Rightarrow} \hat{T} (E0) \stackrel{\text{on}}{=} \eta (e_{n}N + e_{p}Z) \hat{n}_{d}$ 

The  $\rho^2 = \frac{\langle f | \hat{T}(E\theta) \rangle}{e^2 R^4}$  is defined as

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

values



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in samarium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in gadolinium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in gadolinium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in gadolinium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in dysprosium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in erbium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in ytterbium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in hafnium



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

### $ho^2$ values in tungsten



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Conclusions

Consistent treatment of charge radii and E0 transitions assuming the same effective charges.

- Yet another application of the IBM to explain nuclear data in a simple and comprehensive fashion.
- Thanks to Franco and Akito for this model that continues to give interesting new results to this day.

Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Estimate of parameters

The `average' increase of the charge radius with particle number:

$$\left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{av}} \approx \frac{3}{5} r_0^2 A^{2/3} \Longrightarrow \left| \alpha \right| \approx \frac{4}{5} r_0^2 A^{-1/3} \sim 0.2 \,\mathrm{fm}^2$$

The increase of the charge radius due to deformation:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{def}} \approx \frac{3}{4\pi} \beta^2 r_0^2 A^{2/3} \Rightarrow \eta \approx \frac{4}{3} \left( 1 + \overline{\beta}^2 \right) r_0^2 N_b^2 A^{-4/3}$$
  
~ 0.25 - 0.75 fm<sup>2</sup>

# Effective charges from radii

Estimate with harmonic-oscillator wave

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ r^{2} \right\}_{s} &= \frac{1}{e_{n}N + e_{p}Z} \sum_{k=1}^{A} \langle s | e_{k} r_{k}^{2} | s \rangle \\ &= \frac{3^{4/3}}{4} \frac{b^{2}}{e_{n}N + e_{p}Z} \left( e_{n}N^{4/3} + e_{p}Z^{4/3} \right) \\ &= \frac{3\sqrt[3]{2}}{5} r_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{1/3} \left( e_{n}N^{4/3} + e_{p}Z^{4/3} \right)}{e_{n}N + e_{p}Z} \end{aligned}$$

Fit for rare-earth nuclei (Z=58 to 74) gives:  $r_0=1.24$  fm,  $e_n=0.50e$  and  $e_p=e$ . Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Influence of g boson

Spherical-to-deformed transitional hamiltonian in sdg-IBM-1:

$$\hat{H} = c \left[ (1 - \varsigma) (\hat{n}_d + \lambda \hat{n}_g) - \frac{\varsigma}{4N_b} Q \cdot Q \right]$$

$$Q_{\mu} = \left[s^{+} \times \tilde{d} + d^{+} \times \tilde{s}\right]_{\mu}^{(2)} - \frac{11}{14} \left[d^{+} \times \tilde{d}\right]_{\mu}^{(2)}$$

$$+\frac{9}{7} \left[ d^{+} \times \tilde{g} + g^{+} \times \tilde{d} \right]_{\mu}^{(2)} - \frac{3}{14} \left[ g^{+} \times \tilde{g} \right]_{\mu}^{(2)}$$
  
Take  $\lambda = 1.5$  and let  $\zeta$  vary from 0 (spherical) to 1 (deformed).

#### Effect of g boson on radii



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### Effect of g boson on EOs



Beauty in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012