### Microscopic Description of Quantum Phase Transitions in Nuclei

TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITAT MUNCHEN

ТΠ

Excellence Cluster

ML

#### Cocoyoc, May. 17, 2012

**Peter Ring** 

#### **Technical University Munich**



## Quantum phase transitions

Covariant density functional theory

## Calculations of Spectra

- Generator Coordinate Method
- axial symmetric calculations of the Ne-chain
- 5-dimensional Bohr Hamiltonian
- Order parameters
  - R42, B(E2),
  - isomer shifts,
  - E0-strength
- Conclusions

## Quantum phase transitions and critical symmetries



## Transition U(5) $\rightarrow$ SU(3) in Nd-isotopes





#### First and second order QPT can

occur between systems characterized

by different ground-state shapes.

Control Parameter: Number of nucleons

$$E[\hat{\rho}] = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle \approx \langle \Phi | H_{eff}(\rho) | \Phi \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} |\Phi\rangle & \text{Slater determinant } \iff \hat{\rho} \text{ density matrix} \\ |\Phi\rangle = \mathcal{A}\{\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \dots \varphi_{(\mathbf{r}_A)}\} \iff \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{i=1}^{A} |\varphi_i(\mathbf{r})\rangle \langle \varphi_i(\mathbf{r}')| \end{split}$$

Mean field:  $\hat{h} = \frac{\delta E}{\delta \hat{\rho}}$ 

Eigenfunctions:  
$$\hat{h} | \varphi_i \rangle = \varepsilon_i | \varphi_i \rangle$$

Interaction:  
$$\hat{V} = \frac{\delta^2 E}{\delta \hat{\rho} \delta \hat{\rho}}$$

Extensions: Pairing correlations, Covariance Relativistic Hartree Bogoliubov (RHB) theory







- the basis is an effective Lagrangian with all relativistic symmetries
- it is used in a mean field concept (Hartree-level)
- with the no-sea approximation



Beautiy in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

#### **Effective density dependence:**

The basic idea comes from ab initio calculations density dependent coupling constants include Brueckner correlations and threebody forces



Manakos and Mannel, Z.Phys. **330**, 223 (1988) Bürvenich, Madland, Maruhn, Reinhard, PRC **65**, 044308 (2002): **PC-F1** Niksic, Vretenar, P.R., PRC 78, 034318 (2008): **DD-PC1** 

## **Comparision with ab-initio calculations:**



Beautiy in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

## Adjustment to ab-initio calculations:

point coupling model is adjusted to microscopic nuclear matter:



#### Ground state properties of finite nuclei:



## DD-PC1 Giant resonances:

#### T. Niksic et al, (2008)







Can a universal density functional, adjusted to ground state properties, at the same time reproduce critical phenomena in spectra ?

We need a method to derive spectra: Generator coordinate method (GCM), Adiabatic time-dependent relativistic mean field (ATDRMF)

We consider the chain of Ne-isotopes with a phase transition from spherical (U(5)) to axially deformed (SU(3))



Constraint relativistic mean field produces wave functions depending on a generator coordinate q

$$\left| \Psi \right\rangle = \int dq \, f(q) \left| q \right\rangle$$

the GCM wave function is a superposition of Slater determinants

Hill-Wheeler equation:

$$\int dq' \left[ \left\langle q | H | q' \right\rangle - E \left\langle q | q' \right\rangle \right] f(q') = 0$$

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle = \int dq f(q) \hat{P}^{N} \hat{P}^{I} \left|q\right\rangle$$

with projection:

Self-consistent RMF plus Lipkin-Nogami BCS binding energy curves of <sup>142-152</sup>Nd, as functions of the mass quadrupole moment.



R. Krücken *et al*, PRL 88, 232501 (2002)



GCM: only one scale parameter: X(5): two scale parameters:

 $E(2_1)$  $E(2_1), BE2(2_2 \rightarrow 0_1)$ 

**Problem of GCM at this level:** 

restricted to γ=0



Beautiy in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

B(E2; L  $\rightarrow$  L-2) values and excitation energies for the yrast states: <sup>148</sup>Nd, <sup>150</sup>Nd, and <sup>152</sup>Nd, calculated with the GCM and compared with those predicted by the **X(5)**:



Beautiy in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

20

18

16

14

<sup>148</sup>Nd

<sup>150</sup>Nd

<sup>152</sup>Nd

G→ ⊡ X(5)

10

8

 $B(E2; L \rightarrow L-2)$  values and excitation energies for the yrast states: <sup>148</sup>Nd, 150Nd, and 152Nd, calculated with the GCM and compared with those predicted by the X(5), SU(3)



Beautiy in Physics, Cocoyoc, May 2012

20

18

16

14

<sup>148</sup>Nd

<sup>150</sup>Nd

→ <sup>152</sup>Nd G→ O X(5)

♦ ♦ SU(3)

10

8

28

B(E2; L  $\rightarrow$  L-2) values and excitation energies for the yrast states: <sup>148</sup>Nd, <sup>150</sup>Nd, and <sup>152</sup>Nd, calculated with the GCM and compared with those predicted by the X(5), SU(3) and U(5) symmetries.





29

20

#### potential energy suface:



## First relativictic full 3D GCM calculations

Yao et al, PRC 81, 044311 (2010)





# triaxial GCM in $q=(\beta,\gamma)$ is approximated by the diagonalization of a 5-dimensional Bohr Hamiltonian:

Bohr Hamiltonian:  $H = -\frac{\partial}{dq} \frac{1}{2B(q)} \frac{\partial}{dq} + V(q) + V_{corr}(q)$ 

### the potential and the inertia functions are calculated microscopically from rel. density functional

Theory:	Banerjee and Brink (1973) Giraud and Grammaticos (1975) Baranger and Veneroni (1978)	(from GCM) (from GCM) (from ATDHF)
Skyrme:	J. Libert, M. Girod, and JP. Delaroche (1999)	
RMF:	L. Prochniak and P. R. (2004)	
	Niksic, Li, et al (2009)	
Gogny	DelaRoche et al (2010)	

#### Inertia parameters:

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mu\mu'}^{\cdot}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left( \begin{array}{c} P^* & -P \end{array} \right)_{\mu} \mathcal{M} \left( \begin{array}{c} P \\ -P^* \end{array} \right)_{\mu}$$

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M}_0 \right]^{-1}$  Thouless-Valatin mass

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 - \mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M}_0 + \cdots$ 

#### An example: **Rotational inertia**

here we can use the self-consistent cranking model







## neutron and proton levels for <sup>150</sup>Nd





- How much are the discontinuities smoothed out in finite systems ?
- How well can the phase transition be associated with a certain value of the control parameter that takes only integer values ?
- Which experimental data show discontinuities in the phase transition?



- Quantum phase transitions
- Covariant density functional theory
- Calculations of Spectra
  - Generator Coordinate Method
  - axial symmetric calculations of the Ne-chain
  - 5-dimensional Bohr Hamiltonian

#### Order parameters

- R42, B(E2),
- isomer shifts,
- E0-strength
- Conclusions

#### Sharp increase of $R_{42}=E(4_1)/E(2_1)$ and $B(E2;2_1-0_1)$ :



## Isomeric shifts in the charge radii:



Li, Niksic et al PRC 79 (2009)

## Properties of 0<sup>+</sup> excitations



Li, Niksic et al PRC 79 (2009)

## Monopol transition strength $\rho(E0; 0_2 - 0_1)$



Li, Niksic et al PRC 79 (2009)



## **Conclusions:**

#### **GCM calculations for spectra in transitional nuclei**

- J+N projection is important,
- triaxial calculations so only for very light nuclei possible
- microscopic theory of quantum phase transitions

#### **Derivation of a collective Hamiltonian**

- allows triaxial calculations
- nuclear spectroscopy based on density functionals
- open question of inertia parameters

The microscopic framework based on universal density functionals provides a consistent and (nearly) parameter free description of quantum phase transitions

The finiteness of the nuclear system does not seem to smooth out the discontinuities of these phase transitions

# **Collaborators:**

- T. Niksic (Zagreb) D. Vretenar (Zagreb)
- G. A. Lalazissis (Thessaloniki)
- L. Prochniak (Lublin)
- Z.P. Li(Beijing)J.M. Yao(Chonqing)J. Meng(Beijing)

# Thank you

# and

# Happy Birthday to you, Franco